| 1 | 1 WO | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | 3 | |
| 4 | 4 | |
| 5 | 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA | |
| 8 | 8 | |
| 9 | 9 United States of America, | CR 08-00733-PHX-NVW |
| 10 | O Plaintiff, | DETENTION ORDER |
| 11 | 1 vs. | DETENTION ORDER |
| 12 | 2 Rafael Medrano-Hernandez, | |
| 13 | Defendant. | |
| 14 | 4 | |
| 15 | On September 2, 2011, defendant Rafael Medrano-Hernandez appeared before this Court | |
| 16 | on a petition for revocation of supervised release. The Court considered the information | |
| 17 | provided to the Court, and the arguments of counsel in determining whether the defendant | |
| 18 | should be released on conditions set by the Court. | |
| 19 | The Court finds that the defendant, having previously been convicted and placed on | |
| 20 | supervised release, and having appeared before the Court in connection with a petition to revoke | |
| 21 | his supervised release, has failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that he is not | |
| 22 | likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of the community if released pursuant to Rule 46(d), | |
| 23 | and Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and 18 U.S.C. §3143. | |
| 24 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained pending further proceeding | |
| 25 | DATED this 2 nd day of September, 2011. | |
| 26 | 1111 | |
| 27 | 1 / Hollal | |
| 28 | United States Magistrate Judge | |